pursuant to the Reverse Auction Cost Recovery Mechanism established in Northern's Global Settlement. Therefore Northern has filed Third Revised Sheet No. 68 to reflect these amounts in its Tariff and will commence billing such amounts effective March 1, 1995.

Northern states that copies of this filing were served upon the company's customers and interested State

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 7, 1995. All protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate proceeding, but will not serve to make protestant a party to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–2761 Filed 2–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP95-138-000]

Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc.; Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

January 31, 1995.

Take notice that on January 27, 1995, Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc. (Granite State), filed Second Revised Sheet No. 31 in its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, containing changes in rates for effectiveness on February 1, 1995.

According to Granite State, the direct bill charges on Second Revised Sheet No. 31 allocate to its former sales customers, Bay State Gas Company and Northern Utilities, Inc., accumulated deferred gas costs in its A/C 191 attributable to additional out-of-period billings and credits it received from former gas suppliers during the twelve month period ending November 30, 1994. Granite State further states that during the twelve month period ending November 30, 1994, it was billed an additional \$208,727.63 in demand charges by former suppliers and received credits for volumetric charges in the amount of \$174,140.96 attributable to gas purchases during the months of September and October, 1993, before it commenced restructured

operations on November 1, 1993. Granite State proposes to direct bill the net balance of \$47,727.42, which includes carrying charges, to its former sales customers as transitional costs pursuant to Order Nos. 636, et seq.

Granite State states that copies of its filing have been served upon its customers, Bay State Gas Company and Northern Utilities, Inc., and the regulatory commissions of the States of Maine, Massachusetts and New Hampshire.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission**, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 7, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary

[FR Doc. 95–2762 Filed 2–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP95-139-000]

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

January 31, 1995.

Take notice that on January 27, 1995, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, with an effective date of March 1, 1995:

Sixth Revised Seventh Revised Sheet No. 10 Sixth Revised Fourth Revised Sheet No. 11 Fourth Revised First Revised Sheet No. 11.1 Fourth Revised Ninth Revised Sheet No. 12

Texas Gas states that the revised tariff sheets are being filed pursuant to Section 33.3 of the General Terms and Conditions of Texas Gas's FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, to recover ninety percent (90%) of its Gas Supply Realignment costs from its firm transportation customers and ten percent (10%) of its Gas Supply Realignment Costs from its IT customers. The GSR costs, including applicable interest, proposed to be

recovered by Texas Gas's fifth GSR recovery filing total \$3,900,070.

Texas Gas states that copies of the revised tariff sheets are being mailed to Texas Gas's affected jurisdictional customers, those appearing on the applicable service lists, and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 7, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–2763 Filed 2–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5150-2]

Acid Rain Program: $NO_{\rm X}$ Compliance Plans

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Status of $NO_{\rm X}$ Compliance Plans in Final Acid Rain Permits.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is providing notice of the status of NO_X compliance plans in final Acid Rain permits that were issued prior to November 29, 1994, the date on which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued a decision vacating the Acid Rain NOx regulations contained in part 76. Prior to November 29, 1994, EPA had issued draft NO_X compliance plans for public comment and, after the close of the public comment periods, issued final permits or permit revisions that included approved NO_X compliance plans. Under the plans, units were required to meet the standard NO_X emission limitations or the requirements of NO_X averaging plans, and some units were granted 15-month NO_X compliance extensions. The

emission limitations and compliance plan requirements were set forth in part 76

On November 29, 1994, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit determined that, in promulgating part 76, the Agency had exceeded its statutory authority. The Court vacated part 76. Consequently, those NO_X compliance plans that the Agency had approved prior to November 29, 1994 are no longer in effect. The Court decision has no effect on any other provisions of the permits, including the SO₂ compliance plans. The permits containing the NO_X compliance plans are listed below. Upon reissuance of NO_X regulations, EPA will again address the status of these NO_X compliance plans.

EPA notes that there are some NO_X compliance plans that were submitted, but not acted on before November 29, 1994 or were submitted after November 29, 1994. In light of the Court's decision, the Agency has deferred action on any NO_X compliance plans that had not already been acted on by that date.

Permits for the following sources contain previously approved NO_X compliance plans for all Phase I units with Group 1 boilers located at the sources. These plans are not in effect at this time:

Dunkirk, Greenidge, and Milliken in New York.

Chalk Point and Morgantown in Maryland.

Armstrong, Bruce Mansfield, Brunner Island, Cheswick, Conemaugh, Martins Creek, New Castle, Portland, Shawville, and Sunbury in Pennsylvania.

Albright, Fort Martin, Harrison, Mitchell, and Mt Storm in West Virginia.

Colbert and E C Gaston in Alabama. Crist in Florida.

Bowen, Hammond, Jack McDonough, Wansley, and Yates in Georgia.

Coleman, Cooper, East Bend, E W Brown, Elmer Smith, Ghent, Green River, H L Spurlock, HMP&L Station 2, and R D Green in Kentucky.

Jack Watson in Mississippi. Gallatin and Johnsonville in Tennessee.

Baldwin, Grand Tower, Hennepin, Hutsonville, Joppa Steam, Meredosia, Newton, and Vermilion in Illinois.

Cayuga, Elmer W Stout, F B Culley, Frank E Ratts, Gibson, H T Pritchard, Petersburg, R Gallagher, and Wabash River in Indiana.

J H Campbell in Michigan. High Bridge and Sherburne County in Minnesota

Ashtabula, Conesville, East Lake, Edgewater, Gorge, Miami Fort, Picway, R E Burger, Toronto, W H Sammis, and Walter C. Beckjord in Ohio. Genoa, South Oak Creek, and Pulliam in Wisconsin.

Burlington, Milton L Kapp, Prairie Creek, and Riverside in Iowa.

Quindaro in Kansas.

Hawthorn, James River, Labadie, Montrose, Southwest, and Thomas Hill in Missouri.

Gadsby in Utah.

Jim Bridger (units BW71, BW72, and BW73 only) and Wyodak in Wyoming. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Dwight C. Alpern, (202) 233–9151.

Dated: January 31, 1995.

Brian J. McLean,

Director, Acid Rain Division, Office of Atmospheric Programs, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 95–2831 Filed 2–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[FRL-5150-4]

Common Sense Initiative Iron and Steel Sector Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Common Sense Initiative Iron and Steel Sector Subcommittee Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency established the Common Sense Initiative Council (CSIC)—Iron and Steel Sector Subcommittee on October 17, 1994 to provide independent advice and counsel to EPA on policy issues associated with the iron and steel industry. The charter for CSIC is authorized through October 17, 1996 under regulation of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), Public Law 92–463.

OPEN MEETING NOTICE: Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency is convening an open meeting of the Iron and Steel Sector Subcommittee on Tuesday, February 21, 1995 from 1:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. at the Ramada Hotel—Old Town, 901 North Fairfax Street, Alexandria, VA 22314. Seating will be available on a first come, first served basis.

The Iron and Steel Subcommittee has created four workgroups which are responsible for proposing to the full Subcommittee for review, deliberation, and approval potential activities or projects that the Iron and Steel Sector Subcommittee will undertake, and for carrying out projects once approved. The purpose of the meeting will for the four Subcommittee workgroups to report on the progress they have made, and for the Subcommittee to review and discuss the activities or projects

recommended by the workgroups, to provide further guidance as necessary, and, as appropriate, to approve projects for which detailed workplans will be subsequently developed.

INSPECTION OF SUBCOMMITTEE DOCUMENTS: Documents relating to the above topics will be publicly available

above topics will be publicly available at the meeting. Thereafter, these documents and the minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection in room 2417M of EPA Headquarters, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: For more information about this meeting, please call either Ms. Judith Hecht at 202–260–5682 in Washington, D.C. or Ms. Mary Byrne at 312–353–2315 in Chicago, Illinois.

Dated: January 27, 1995.

Mahesh Podar,

Designated Federal Official. [FR Doc. 95–2851 Filed 2–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5149-5]

Jack's Creek/Sitkin Smelting Superfund Site de Minimis Settlement; Proposed Administrative Settlement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Request for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: The United States **Environmental Protection Agency is** proposing to enter into a de minimis settlement pursuant to Section 122(g)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4). This proposed settlement is intended to resolve the liabilities under CERCLA of 112 de minimis parties for response costs incurred by the United States Environmental Protection Agency at the Jack's Creek/Sitkin Smelting Superfund Site, Maitland County, Pennsylvania. **DATES:** Comments must be provided on or before March 8, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to the Docket Clerk, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19107, and should refer to: In Re: Jack's Creek/Sitkin Smelting Superfund Site,

Maitland County, Pennsylvania, U.S. EPA Docket No. III-94-40-DC. FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel Isales (215) 597-4774, or Pamela